



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
500 PEARL STREET  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007

RUBY J. KRAJICK  
CLERK OF COURT

February 25, 2022

BY ECF AND OVERNIGHT MAIL

Mark David Smilow  
WeissLaw LLP  
1500 Broadway, Suite 1601  
New York, NY 10036

Adam Selim Hakki  
Shearman & Sterling LLP (NY)  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022

Christian Levis  
Lowey Dannenberg P.C.  
44 South Broadway, Suite 1100  
White Plains, NY 10601

Jonathan L. Marcus  
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP  
1440 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005

Deborah Clark-Weintraub  
Scott + Scott, L.L.P.( NYC)  
230 Park Avenue, 17th Floor  
New York, NY 10169

David Robert Allen  
Finn Dixon & Herling LLP  
Six Landmark Square  
Stamford, CT 06901

David Harrison McGill  
Kobre & Kim LLP (NYC)  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022

Re: 1:19-cv-06002-LJL, In Re: Merrill, BOFA and Morgan Stanley Spoofing Litigation  
1:19-cv-06488-LJL, Alishaev et al v. Merrill Lynch Commodities, Inc., et al.

Dear Counsel,

I have been contacted by Judge Lewis J. Liman, U.S.D.J., who presided over the above-mentioned cases.

Notice to Counsel of Record  
February 25, 2022  
Page 2

Judge Liman informed me that it has been brought to his attention that while he presided over the cases, his wife owned stock in Bank of America Corporation. His wife's stock ownership is imputed to Judge Liman. That ownership of stock neither affected nor impacted his decisions in this case. However, that stock ownership would have required recusal under the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, and thus, Judge Liman directed that I notify the parties of the potential conflict.

Advisory Opinion 71, from the Judicial Conference Codes of Conduct Committee, provides the following guidance for addressing disqualification that is not discovered until after a judge has participated in a case:

[A] judge should disclose to the parties the facts bearing on disqualification as soon as those facts are learned, even though that may occur after entry of the decision. The parties may then determine what relief they may seek and a court (without the disqualified judge) will decide the legal consequence, if any, arising from the participation of the disqualified judge in the entered decision.

Although Advisory Opinion 71 contemplated disqualification after a Court of Appeals oral argument, the Committee explained "[s]imilar considerations would apply when a judgment was entered in a district court by a judge and it is later learned that the judge was disqualified."

If you wish to respond to the disclosure of a potential conflict in this matter, please file your response in the above-named case. Any response will be considered by another judge of this court without the participation of Judge Liman.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ruby J. Krajick", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Ruby J. Krajick  
Clerk of Court

cc: Hon. Lewis J. Liman, U.S.D.J.